

State of Utah
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Michael O. Leavitt Governor

Kathleen Clarke **Executive Director** 

Lowell P. Braxton Division Director

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210 PO Box 145801 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801 801-538-5340

801-359-3940 (Fax)

801-538-7223 (TDD)

#### INSPECTION REPORT

Partial: X_	Complete: X	Exploration:
	& Time: May 13 ar	d 26, 1999
	of Last Inspection:	

Mine Name: Gordon Creek Mines 2, 7 & 8 County: Carbon Permit Number: ACT/007/016
Permittee and/or Operator's Name: Mountain Coal Company
Business Address: P.O. Box 591 Somerset, Colorado 81434
Type of Mining Activity: Underground X Surface Prep. Plant Other
State Officials(s): David Darby
Company Official(s): None
Federal Official(s): None
Weather Conditions: Clear, cool
Existing Acreage: Permitted- 2289 Disturbed- 0 Regraded- 17.2 Seeded- 17.2 Bonded- 17.2
Increased/Decreased: Permitted Disturbed Regraded Seeded Bonded
Status: _Exploration/X_Active/_Inactive/_Temporary Cessation/_Bond Forfeiture
Reclamation (Phase II Phase III Final Rand Release/ Lightlity Year)

# REVIEW OF PERMIT, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS & PERMIT CONDITION REQUIREMENTS

- Instructions

  1. Substantiate the elements on this inspection by checking the appropriate performance standard.
  - For complete inspections provide narrative justification for any elements not fully inspected unless element is not appropriate to the site, in which case
- b. For <u>partial inspections</u> check only the elements evaluated.

  Document any noncompliance situation by referencing the NOV issued at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
- Reference any narratives written in conjunction with this inspection at the appropriate performance standard listed below. Provide a brief status report for all pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Division Orders, and amendments.

		<b>EVALUATED</b>	N/A	<b>COMMENTS</b>	NOV/ENF
1.	PERMITS, CHANGE, TRANSFER, RENEWAL, SALE	[X]	$\overline{\Box}$	[X]	Ш
2.	SIGNS AND MARKERS	<u>[X]</u>		[X]	$\Box$
3.	TOPSOIL	$\overline{\Pi}$	$\Box$		П
4.	HYDROLOGIC BALANCE:	_			
a.	DIVERSIONS	[X]	П	[X]	П
b.	SEDIMENT PONDS AND IMPOUNDMENTS	[X] [X]		$\Box$	П
c.	OTHER SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES	$\coprod$	Ц	[X]	Ц
d.	WATER MONITORING	П			ĹΪ
e.	EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS		П	П	Щ
5.	EXPLOSIVES		П	П	ΤŤ
6.	DISPOSAL OF EXCESS SPOIL/FILLS/BENCHES	$\Box$	$\Box$	Ц	Ц
7.	COAL MINE WASTE/REFUSE PILES/IMPOUNDMENTS	$\coprod$	П	П	Ħ
8.	NONCOAL WASTE	П	П	П	Ш
9.	PROTECTION OF FISH, WILDLIFE AND				
	RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES	[X]		Ц	
10.	SLIDES AND OTHER DAMAGE	П		Ц	П
11.	CONTEMPORANEOUS RECLAMATION	П	[X]	Ц	Ц
12.	BACKFILLING AND GRADING	П	П	Ц	Ц
13.	REVEGETATION	<u>[X]</u> []	Ц	Ц	Ц
14.	SUBSIDENCE CONTROL	П	Ц	Щ	H
15.	CESSATION OF OPERATIONS	[X]	Ш	Ц	П
16.	ROADS:				<b></b>
a.	CONSTRUCTION/MAINTENANCE/SURFACING	[X] [X] []	Ц	Ħ	Ц
b.	DRAINAGE CONTROLS	<u>[X]</u>	Щ	Ц	Ц
17.	OTHER TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES	Ц	IXI	Ц	Ц
18.	SUPPORT FACILITIES/UTILITY INSTALLATIONS	Ц	ĮΧΙ	Ц	片
19.	AVS CHECK (4th Quarter-April, May, June)(date)	Ц		Ц	H
20.	AIR QUALITY PERMIT	Ц	<u>[X]</u>	H	片
21.	BONDING & INSURANCE	Ш	ш	Ш	Ц

#### INSPECTION REPORT

(Continuation sheet)

Page 2 of 2

PERMIT NUMBER: ACT/007/016

DATE OF INSPECTION: May 13, 26, 1999

(Comments are Numbered to Correspond with Topics Listed Above)

#### **GENERAL COMMENTS**

I conducted short inspection of the minesite on my way to Lila Canyon Mine on May 13 to see what conditions were occuring at the mine. I could not gain full access to the minesite because the access road was covered with a wet soggy snow about 8 inches deep. There was a layer of mud beneath the snow about 4 inches deep the combination of mud and snow made the road inaccessable. I was only able to gain access up to Sweet's pond with the truck. I hiked up the road to the sedimentation pond and gate. The pond was about three-quarters full and not discharging. A small amount of melt water was running into the weir along side the access road. No runoff was leaving the site.

I called Dan Guy on the May 25 to disclose that I was going to conduct an inspection of the minesite when I arrived in Price on the 26th. He had an appointment and could not attend the inspection.

#### 2. Signs and Markers

The signs were intact on the main entry fence identifying the minesite and "No Trespassing" status.

#### 3. Topsoil

There appeared to be a slight amount of erosion from some of the rainstorms that had move through the area in May. However, excessive rilling had not taken place. The surface appeared dry since there had been several days of warm weather.

## 4. Hydrologic Balance

I hiked up to the #7 and #8 reclaimed minesites, All channels appeared intact. Water was flowing from the springs at the #7 mine, mouth of Slide Canyon and above Jacob's Pond. No water was discharging from Jacob's pond.

I expressed concerns earlier over the shallowness of SD-5, especially since excessive flows could run down the access road which could cause more damage from erosion.

The applicant was asked to supply information on the as-built designs at the time of the inspection no plans have been resubmitted. I remeasured the shallow cross-section of the second undisturbed channel, SD-5 using a 1"x 2" x 8' board, a hand level and a tap measure. The deepest section measured was 7.5 inches. The left surface (from the deepest point) measured 32 inches and the right surface measured 123 giving a total surface of 155 inches. Calculations revealed a cross-sectional area of 4.01 square feet (without freeboard).

Information presented in the last inspection report stating that the operator was required to size for the 2 year-6 hour precipitation event was inaccurate. Regulation R645-301-742.333 requires that undisturbed permanent diversions be sized for the 10 yr-6 hr precipitation event.

## a. Sediment Pond and Impoundments

The sedimentation pond was empty in the lower and middle cells and about half full in the upper cell, however no water was flowing into the pond. It appeared clear indicating no current erosion. The embankments appeared intact. Motorists use the embankment of the second cell as a turn-around and vegetation will not grow where it occurs. Any runoff from the pond embankments would be captured and treated in via the rock weirs.

Copy of this Report:

Mailed to: <u>James Fulton (OSM/Denver)</u>, Chris Hansen (CFC), Dan Guy (Blackhawk Engineering)

Given to: Joe Helfrich (DOGM)

Inspector's Signature: David Darby #47 Date:June 12, 1999

## **INSPECTION REPORT**

(Continuation sheet) PERMIT NUMBER: ACT/007/016

DATE OF INSPECTION: May 26, 1999

Page 2 of 2

(Comments are Numbered to Correspond with Topics Listed Above)

## d. Monitoring

Water monitoring data was reviewed for the past month. The sites were monitored on January 26 thru February 26 where accessable. No discharges were reported from the sedimentation pond. All water monitoring information appeared complete.

# c. Other Sediment Control Structures

An evaluation of the rock weir structures controlling runoff from the sedimentation pond and reclaimed hillsides showed that some were working, but some had become circumvented. Sediment appeared to be trapped by the weirs that were working. The operator should conduct maintenance on the structures to maintain structure integredy.

Copy of this Repo	rt:						
Mailed to:_	James Fulton (OSM/Denver), C	Chris Hansen (	CFC), Da	ın Guy (B	lackhawk	Engineer	ring)
Given to:	Joe Helfrich (DOGM)						
Inspector's Signatu	ıre·	De	avid Darb	x #47	Data: Juna	12 100	0